

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,882	11/26/2003	Yun Yu Chuang	MR1115-490	6000
4586	7590 12/13/2005	,	EXAM	INER
ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE			HWANG, VICTOR KENNY	
3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101 ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3764	

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		٧				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/721,882	CHUANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Victor K. Hwang	3764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 ∧</u>	lovember 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under to the condition of t	•	•				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	l.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 26 November 2003 is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		v(s)/Mail Date. <u>20051208</u> . Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

Application/Control Number: 10/721,882

Art Unit: 3764

Page 2

Paper No. 20051208

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they 1. do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "212" referred to in paragraphs [0018] and [0019]. Presumably, the reference character "212" should be inserted in the drawing of Fig. 2 to identify the hole in the retention ring diametrically opposite and coaxial to the hole 211. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in paragraph [0018, line 5, "212" presumably should be replaced with --211--; and in paragraph [0023], line 8, the 2nd and 3rd occurrences of "61" presumably should be replaced with --62--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,882

Art Unit: 3764 Paper No. 20051208

Page 3

Claim Objections

3. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: on line 1, the recitation "1" presumably should be changed to -5--, in order to provide proper antecedent basis for the recitation of "the permanent magnet." Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-18 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,623,405 B2 in view of Wood et al. (US Pat. 6,761,611 B2). The patent discloses a wrist exerciser as claimed except for a transmission means comprising a transmitter mounted in the rotor and comprising an interface circuit connected to the control circuit and a connection member in connection wit the interface circuit, and a transmission cable having opposite ends forming first and second connectors, the

Art Unit: 3764

first connector being releasably mateable with the connection member of the transmitter of the rotor and the second connector being adapted to connect an external device for transferring an electrical signal from the external device to the control circuit.

Wood et al. discloses a rotatably mounted ball 102 medium, such as a flash memory cartridge, a disk, a tape, or a memory stick (col. 6, lines 32-44). A linker device may also be used, such as a wireless transceiver or a data port (e.g., a Universal Serial Bus [USB] data port) to transfer code between the ball and a computer. The data port enables a user to transfer data to, and from, the interactive learning apparatus through a physical connection (e.g., a data cable) among the interactive learning apparatus and a client PC or the Internet (col. 6, lines 45-62). The transfer of data is used to provide code for new operating modes (col. 6, lines 41-44).

An operational data port on the ball would inherently include an interface circuit connected to the control circuit and a connection member in connection with the interface circuit. An operational data cable would also inherently have opposite ends forming first and second connectors, the first connector being releasably mateable with the connection member of the transmitter and the second connector being adapted to connect an external device for transferring an electrical signal from the external device to the control circuit. A USB data port would inherently require use of a USB cable having the proper USB connectors. An RS232 interface and connectors are considered to be equivalent to the USB cable and connectors, and an obvious choice for transmitting data. RS232 cables are commonly known as serial cables for connecting peripheral devices to a computer.

Note the ball is considered to be a rotor. A rotor as defined in <u>Webster's Ninth New</u>

Collegiate Dictionary 1025 (1st. ed. 1990) (definition n. 1.) is "a part that revolves in a stationary part; esp: the rotating member of an electrical machine."

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the rotor of the patent with the physical transmission means of *Wood et al.*, in order to provide a means for transferring data to provide new code to the control circuit for new operating modes.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Mishler (US Pat. 5,150,625), Mishler (US Pat. 5,353,655), Chuang (US Pat. 5,800,311), Yu (US Pat. 6,039,679), Williams (US Pat. 6,413,144), Yu (US Pat. 6,527,675 B1), Kuo (US Pat. 6,770,012), Leja (US Pat. 6,816,137 B2), Dworzan (US Pat. Pub. 2005/0101454 A1), Chuang et al. (US Pat. Pub. 2005/0107218 A1) and Clifford et al. (US Pat. Pub. 2005/0206218 A1) disclose various apparatus having rotors with at least one illumination element mounted thereto.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor K. Hwang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4976. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM Eastern time.

The facsimile number for submitting papers directly to the examiner for informal correspondence is (571) 273-4976. The facsimile number for submitting all formal correspondence is (571) 273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory L. Huson can be reached on (571) 272-4887.

Art Unit: 3764 Paper No. 20051208

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Victor K. Hwang December 8, 2005 Stephen K. Cronin Primary Examiner